

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached replacement sheet includes changes to Figure 1-3, and replaces original sheet 1. The legend "Prior Art" has been added to Figures 1-3, as requested by the Examiner.

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2010, in which the drawings were objected to, claim 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, claims 1-4, 10, 16, and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,336,438 (*Evans*), and claim 28 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Evans*. With this Amendment, withdrawn claims 18-27 are canceled without prejudice, with the Applicant reserving the right to pursue these claims in one or more divisional applications. In addition, claim 5 is canceled, claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 16, and 29 are amended, and claims 30-39 are added. Claims 1-4, 6-17, and 28-39 are pending in the application.

Drawings

Figures 1-3 were objected to because the legend --Prior Art-- was not included. With this Amendment, a replacement sheet is being filed to include the suggested legend on Figures 1-3.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because the term “the second cam portion” lacks antecedent basis. With this Amendment, claim 16 is amended to depend from claim 12, and “the second cam portion” is amended to “the other of the cam portions,” which has antecedent basis in claim 12. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-4, 10, 16 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Evans*, and claim 28 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Evans*. Claim 5 was objected to as being allowable but dependent upon a rejected base claim. With this Amendment, the limitations of claim 5 are incorporated into independent claim 1, and claim 5 is canceled. In that claim 1 is in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the rejections of claims 1-4, 10, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and claim 28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

The limitations of canceled claim 5 are also incorporated into claim 29. In that *Evans* does not teach or fairly suggest a device as claimed including an adjustment means comprising a

cam arrangement for varying the spacing between the extended paddle position and the carrier support, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claim 29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-9, 11-15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant gratefully acknowledges the allowability of these claims. As discussed above, with this Amendment, the limitations of claim 5 are incorporated into claim 1, and claim 5 canceled. In that claim 1 is in condition for allowance, and claims 6-9, 11-15, and 17 depend from claim 1, claims 6-9, 11-15, and 17 are allowable therewith.

New Claims

With this Amendment, new claims 30-39 are added. New claim 30 is original claim 1 with the limitations of original claims 10 and 11 incorporated. The Office Action indicated that claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including the limitations of claims 1 and 10. Consideration and allowance of claims 30-38 are respectfully requested.

New claim 39 is original claim 29 with the limitations of original claims 10 and 11 incorporated. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a device as claimed including a backing portion on which the carrier support is mounted, wherein the carrier support is arranged for movement relative to the backing portion to change the spacing between the paddle when in its extended position and the carrier support. Consideration and allowance of new claim 39 are also respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, all of the claims remaining in this application should now be seen to be in condition for allowance. A prompt notice to that effect is respectfully solicited. If there are any remaining questions, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

By: /Paul G. Koziol/
Paul G. Koziol
Reg. No. 58,515
612/766-8965
Customer No.: 25764

Dated: June 9, 2010